
De: Klenovský Pavel <pklenovsky@cmi.cz>
À: 'Jean-Francois MAGANA' <jean-francois.magana@oiml.org>
Date: vendredi 18 juin 2010 16:01:59
Objet: Consultation on a new work item on Conformity to Type
Dossier: CIML_comments_CTT/Jean-Francois MAGANA

Dear Jean-Francois,

In the discussion several topics have been opened - my comments are as follows:

1. I personally, together with Australia, consider CTT as probably the most pressing issue in OIML (or for consumer protection in legal metrology, in different words) - also, as we are being reminded by CECIP on each and every occasion, the situation in the field is quite bad. The work should start by collecting info on existing systems with their pros and cons. Without any prejudice I believe out of my life-long experience that the system should be based on public funding with totally independent bodies being in charge - any involvement of private money can potentially distort the system.
2. The question whether CTT should be part of any of the certification system or not is to me premature, can be decided later, after we see the outlines of the proposed procedure.
3. While the preceding is of primary importance a major part of the discussion is about where to assign the work. I agree with the proposal of Australia to create a new SC under TC 3 simply out of practical reasons: the majority of work, as I know by experience, will be on the shoulders of the secretariat anyhow and in such a way we can achieve a jump-start if the secretariat is assigned to Australia. As a matter of course, the membership might be the same but it does not very much matter under what heading I will receive an e-mail, be it a SC, a working group of SC 5 or anything else.
4. I agree with Germany that we have in Europe a CCT system of our own and it might be difficult for us to adapt to any new system here - on the other hand, we are constantly reminded by CECIP that this system is totally ineffective (see also their recent market study).
5. As to the issue of competition/competence it is in this globalized world where products are reasonably uniformly spread around the globe not very relevant.

Regards

Pavel Klenovsky, Czech Republic

-----Original Message-----

From: Jean-Francois MAGANA [mailto:jean-francois.magana@oiml.org]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 2:46 PM
To: member-states@oiml.org
Subject: Consultation on a new work item on Conformity to Type

Dear CIML Members,

Australia sent a proposal for a new work item aiming at establishing a voluntary OIML system for certifying conformity to type.

This proposal was discussed by correspondence with the Presidential Council members, as there are different points of view on this proposal.

This proposal is now submitted to your comments with a view to deciding on it at the next CIML Meeting in September.

You will find attached a note presenting this proposal, the major issues to consider and the comments expressed by the Presidential Council members.

I would be grateful if you can send me your comments by the end of August so that I can report on your comments at the CIML Meeting.

Best regards

J.F. Magaña
BIML Director

_____ Informace od ESET NOD32 Antivirus, verze databaze 5194 (20100614)

Tuto zpravu proveril ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.cz>
